# On-Policy vs Off-Policy Algorithms

CMPT 729 G100

Jason Peng

#### Overview

- On-Policy vs Off-Policy
- On-Policy Algorithms
- Off-Policy Algorithms
- Trade-Offs

#### **On-policy:**

• Model can be update using *only* data collected with the model

#### **Off-policy:**

• Model can be updated using data collected from *other* sources

#### **On-Policy**











Policies from previous training iterations









# RL Algorithms





# **On-Policy (REINFORCE)**

#### **ALGORITHM:** REINFORCE

1:  $\theta \leftarrow$  initialize policy parameters



7: return policy  $\pi_{\theta}$ 

# **On-Policy (REINFORCE)**

#### **ALGORITHM:** REINFORCE

- 1:  $\theta \leftarrow$  initialize policy parameters
- 2: while not done do
- 3: Sample trajectories  $\{\tau^i\}$  from policy  $\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$
- 4: Estimate policy gradient  $\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} R(\tau^{i}) \sum_{t} \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}^{i} | \mathbf{s}_{t}^{i})$
- 5: Update policy  $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta})$
- 6: end while
- 7: return policy  $\pi_{\theta}$

# **On-Policy (REINFORCE)**

#### **ALGORITHM:** REINFORCE

- 1:  $\theta \leftarrow$  initialize policy parameters
- 2: while not done do
- 3: Sample trajectories  $\{\tau^i\}$  from policy  $\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$
- 4: Estimate policy gradient  $\nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta}) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} R(\tau^{i}) \sum_{t} \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}^{i} | \mathbf{s}_{t}^{i})$ 5: Update policy  $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta})$ 6: end while 7: return policy  $\pi_{\theta}$ Perform just one grad update, then throw out data

Policy Gradient Methods for Reinforcement Learning with Function Approximation [Sutton et al. 1999]

$$\nabla_{\pi} J(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\pi}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \left[ \nabla_{\pi} \log \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) \left( Q^{\pi}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) - V^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}) \right) \right]$$
From current policy

- If data is not from  $\pi$  , PG methods can completely fail to learn anything

Policy Gradient Methods for Reinforcement Learning with Function Approximation [Sutton et al. 1999]

# RL Algorithms





### **Behavioral Cloning**



$$\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{o},\mathbf{a})\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[-\log\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{o})\right]$$

Off-Policy (Model-Based RL)

$$\underset{f}{\operatorname{arg max}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},\mathbf{s'})\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[\log f(\mathbf{s'}|\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a})\right]$$

• Dataset can come from anywhere, as long as it has sufficient coverage of states and actions.



$$Q^{k+1} = \underset{Q}{\arg\min} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},r,\mathbf{s}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right]$$

$$(Current Q-function)$$

$$\begin{split} Q^{k+1} &= \arg\min_{Q} \ \mathbb{E}_{\substack{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, r, \mathbf{s}') \sim \mathcal{D} \\ /}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right] \\ & \text{Does not depend on } Q^k! \end{split}$$

- The data distribution can be an arbitrary distribution
- Tabular Q-Learning
  - If dataset covers <u>all</u> states and actions, then Q-learning will converge to the optimal Q-function
  - Can learn from a completely <u>random</u> policy, as long as agent observes every state and action at least once

$$Q^{k+1} = \underset{Q}{\operatorname{arg min}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},r,\mathbf{s}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right]$$



Arbitrary Dataset

$$Q^{k+1} = \underset{Q}{\operatorname{arg min}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},r,\mathbf{s}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right]$$

- The data distribution can be an arbitrary distribution
- Tabular Q-Learning
  - If dataset covers all states and actions, then Q-learning will converge to the optimal Q-function
  - Can learn from a completely <u>random</u> policy, as long as agent observes every state and action at least once

Off-Policy (Q-Learning)

$$Q^{k+1} = \underset{Q}{\operatorname{arg min}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},r,\mathbf{s}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right]$$



Off-Policy (Q-Learning)

$$Q^{k+1} = \underset{Q}{\operatorname{arg min}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},r,\mathbf{s}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right]$$



Off-Policy (Q-Learning)

$$Q^{k+1} = \underset{Q}{\operatorname{arg min}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},r,\mathbf{s}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right]$$



Off-Policy (Q-Learning)

$$Q^{k+1} = \underset{Q}{\operatorname{arg min}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},r,\mathbf{s}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right]$$



$$Q^{k+1} = \underset{Q}{\operatorname{arg min}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},r,\mathbf{s}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right]$$
Independent of current model, but the characteristics of the data still matters.



$$Q^{k+1} = \underset{Q}{\operatorname{arg min}} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a},r,\mathbf{s}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ \left( \left( r + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}',\mathbf{a}') \right) - Q(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) \right)^2 \right]$$

- The data distribution can be an arbitrary distribution
- Q-Learning + function approximation
  - Not guaranteed to converge to the optimal Q-function
  - Can learn an effective policy from arbitrary dataset with sufficient coverage

#### **ALGORITHM:** Q-Learning

- 1:  $Q^0 \leftarrow$  initialize Q-function
- 2:  $\mathcal{D} \leftarrow \{\emptyset\}$  initialize dataset
- 3: for iteration k = 0, ..., n 1 do
- 4: Sample trajectory  $\tau$  according to  $Q^k(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$

5: Add transitions to dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, r_i, \mathbf{s}'_i)\}$ 

Keep data from previous iterations for better coverage

- 6: Calculate target values for each sample *i*:  $y_i = r_i + \gamma \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q^k(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}')$
- 7: Update Q-function:  $Q^{k+1} = \arg \min_{Q} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i) \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[ (y_i - Q(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i))^2 \right]$ 8: end for

9: return  $Q^n$ 

$$\hat{J}(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\pi}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \left[ \hat{Q}^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \right]$$

$$\hat{J}(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\pi}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \left[ \hat{Q}^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \right]$$

$$\nabla_{\pi} \hat{J}(\pi) \approx \nabla_{\pi} J(\pi)$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{J}(\pi) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\pi}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Q}^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \end{bmatrix} \\ & \swarrow \\ \hat{J}(\pi) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\mu}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Q}^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

 $\mu(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$  : behavior policy

Surrogate Objective

$$\hat{J}(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\mu}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \left[ Q^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \right]$$



Surrogate Objective

$$\hat{J}(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\mu}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} [Q^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})]$$



Surrogate Objective

$$\hat{J}(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\mu}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \left[ Q^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \right]$$



 $\pi$  is trying to maximize return starting in states visited by  $\mu$ 

$$\hat{J}(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\mu}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \left[ Q^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \right]$$

- If  $\mu(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) = \pi^*(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$  , then  $\pi \to \pi^*$
- If  $\mu(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) \neq \pi^*(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$ , then algorithm will still learn *some* policy, but it might not be optimal
- Will not work for a completely random behavior policy, even if it covers all states and actions

#### ALGORITHM: SAC

- 1:  $Q^0 \leftarrow \text{initialize Q-function}$
- 2:  $\pi^0 \leftarrow \text{initialize policy}$
- 3:  $\mathcal{D} \leftarrow \{\emptyset\}$  initialize dataset
- 4: for iteration k = 0, ..., n 1 do
- 5: Sample trajectory  $\tau$  according to  $\pi^k(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})$
- 6: Add transitions to dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, r_i, \mathbf{s}'_i)\}$
- 7: Calculate target values for each sample *i*:  $y_i = r_i + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a}' \sim \pi^k(\mathbf{a}' | \mathbf{s}'_i)} \left[ Q^k(\mathbf{s}'_i, \mathbf{a}') \right]$

8: Update Q-function:  

$$Q^{k+1} = \arg \min_{Q} \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{s}_{i},\mathbf{a}_{i},\mathbf{r}_{i},\mathbf{s}_{i}')\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[ (y_{i} - Q(\mathbf{s}_{i},\mathbf{a}_{i}))^{2} \right]$$

11: return  $\pi^n$ 

#### **On-Policy vs Off-Policy**



#### Trade-Offs

#### **On-Policy**

- 🗶 Sample inefficient
  - Fast wall-clock time
- Typically better asymptotic performance
- More stable and easy to tune
- Exploration limited by action distribution

#### **Off-Policy**

- Sample efficient
- Slow wall-lock time
- X Typically worse asymptotic performance
- X More unstable and hard to tune
  - Flexible exploration

#### **On-Policy Exploration**

**Exploration:** what actions can the policy take?

$$\nabla_{\pi} J(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\pi}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \left[ \nabla_{\pi} \log \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) \left( Q^{\pi}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) - V^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}) \right) \right]$$

### **On-Policy Exploration**

**Exploration:** what actions can the policy take?

$$\nabla_{\pi} J(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\pi}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \left[ \nabla_{\pi} \log \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) \left( Q^{\pi}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}) - V^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}) \right) \right]$$

#### **Policy Gradient:**

- Action distribution must have a differentiable log-likelihood
- Limited to simple action distributions with easy to compute loglikelihoods

**Exploration:** what actions can the policy take?

$$\hat{J}(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s} \sim d_{\mu}(\mathbf{s})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{a} \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})} \left[ Q^{\mu}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \right]$$

#### SAC:

- Do not need to differentiate behavior policy  $\mu$
- Can collect data using any action distribution as long as it has good coverage of actions
- E.g. temporally correlated actions, epsilon-greedy, multi-modal distributions, mixture models, etc.

### Summary

- On-Policy vs Off-Policy
- On-Policy Algorithms
- Off-Policy Algorithms
- Trade-Offs